B. M. SHAFFNER. Chicago Daily Tribune (1872-1922); May 4, 1879; ProQuest Historical Newspapers: Chicago Tribune pg. 4 B. M. SHAFFNER.

Benjamin M. Shaffner, neatly dressed and with a button-hole bouquet decorating the left

lappet of his coat, took the stand. He had no Reproduced with permission of the copyright owner. Further reproduction prohibited without permission. desire, he said, to hold back any facts, but at the same time he wished it understood that any information he had derived from his client was to eld sacred. The Coroner and Mr. Accu said they thought there would be no difficulty about that Mr. Shaffner, in response to the Coroner's

questions, testified that the woman's right name was Adelside Robert. He was surprised, he was Adelaide Robert. was Adelaide Robert. He was surprised, he said, on reaching Juessen's office Thursday afternoon, to find that she had preceded him. He made the remark, on entering the room, that he was ready to proceed. Just then he saw Mrs. Robert walk around the table in front of Weber and in less than a second he saw the blaze of the reliver and immed forward to get hold of the Robert walk around the table in front of Weber and in less than a second he saw the blaze of the revolver and jumped forward to get hold of it. He heard no outery from Weber, so didn't suppose he was hurt. As he grasped the revolver to get it from her, the detective pounced upon her and held her down on the lounge. Juessen removed Weber to another room, and when witness got in there Weber said, "Isn't this a nice moved Weber to another room, and when witness got in there Weber said, "Isn't this a nice moved Weber to another room, and when witness got in there Weber said, "Isn't this a nice heard their heard of husiness?" or something of that kind. He replied that he was sorry for what had happened, and tried to render some assistance. He building, and hadn't seen it since. Then he ran out to get a doctor, but Juessen had anticipated him. The reason he took the revolver from the woman was that he feared there from the woman was that he feared there from the woman was that he feared there he caught hold of her she was falling backwards, as if in a fit. He saw no effort to cock the revolver, and heard no "click"; simply saw the flash and heard the report. The other chambers were loaded. He wouldn't of course say what the motive was. He couldn't say. He did not know that any one had instigated her, directly or indirectly. He did not see her again until the next morning.

see bad instigated her, directly or indirectly. He did not see her again until the next morning.

In answer to the foreman of the jury, Mr. Cole, the witness, stated that Mrs. Robert was a widow,—that is, he understood that her see noul husband, Robert, had been killed in a rail-road accident, and that she had been divorced from Bennert, her first husband. Witness as present when the chair was thrown, Wednesday, and Col. Juessen had narrated the occurrence pretty much as it happened.

"Did you hear her say anything just before the shot was fired?" asked Mr. Reed.

"I don't think that I did. I had been there perhaps thirty seconds when she walked to the table and said something to Mr. Weber. It was sid in a low, numbling tone, and I didn't understand what it was."

"Were your opportunities for hearing as good as Col. Juessen's?"

"I think I was nearer to her than he was. I think he was probably four or five feet west of her, and between me and her, not on a line, but west of us and between us, although not directly between us. I don't think I was more than two feet from her when she spoke to Mr. Weber; don't think there is any question of that."

Col. Juessen had testified that he was directly hehind the woman, and so close to her as to almost touch her. From his position and his creater height, he could look over her head and we Weber.

"Did you know she had a revolver with her that day?" continued Mr. Reed.

"No. sir. I never saw her with a revolver, and don't think I ever heard her threaten to shoot Weber or make threats to use violence upon him, not that I know of. I know that whenever his name was spokenshe seemed to be anarry and frenzied."

"Oh, that is undoubtedly true," said Mr. Reed.

"I attempted to prevent any schenes," continued the witness, "and after this little scene timed the witness, "and after this little scene

"Oh, that is undoubted."
Reed.
"I attempted to prevent any schenes," continued the witness, "and after this little scene of the chair-throwing I told her she must go out of the room or I would go out of the case."
"Have you stated to any newspaper reporter that your professional relation to her wouldn't allow you to tell whether she had a revolver or not?"
"I don't think that question was ever asked me."

hot?"

"I don't think that question was ever asked me."

"Did you see her fooling in her pocket during the examination?"

"I saw her fumbling under her cloak, but she has done the same thing at my office,—a sort of twitching that was very usual with her. I saw no handkerchief before the shot was fired."

"Have you ever had any talk with Henry Greenebaum about this case,—before the shooting?"

"Never."

"Any conversation with him about prosecuting it?"

"Never."

"Did he ever pay you anything for prosecuting the case against Mr. Weber!"

"No, Sir. He has never talked to me about prosecuting the case, and never paid me a cent to prosecute it."

"Did he ever talk to you about Mr. Weber!"

"Uhin he has."

"Well, I think i met him ou the street one day, a few days ago, and he told me that Mr. Weber had made some malicious statements in his report. He said the statements were not true, and he had certain notes and checks to prove it."

"I mean about the suit of Mrs. Robert against Mr. Weber."

"Oh, no; Mr. Greencbaum and I had no relaginst Mr. Weber."

prove it."

"I mean about the suit of Mrs. Robert against Mr. Weber."

"Oh, no; Mr. Greenchaum and I had no relations in reference to this suit."

"Nor any conversations in relation to it?"

"Well, sir, I don't think that we ever had. I don't suppose I have spoken to him three times in my life."

"Did you tell Mr. Greenebaum, or any friend of his, where this examination was to take place?"

"I think I told Mr. Greenebaum." . think told Mr. Greeneb

place?"

"I think I told Mr. Greenebaum about it."
"How did you happen to tell him about it?"
"Well, that I don't know. I know he and
Mr. Weber had been interested, and I told him
the testimony was to be taken at a certain time
and place. I think this was in the same conversation—when I met him one day on Washington
street. I can't tell whether it was Wednesday
or the day previous."
"Who first spoke of the testimony being
taken,—you or he?"
"I can't tell you. It was mentioned in a
casual way."
"Did he ask you whether the testimony was
to be taken, or did you volunteer the statement
when it was to be taken?"
"Well, I can't tell. My impression is that I
stated it to him."
"That you told him yourself?"
"Yes sir"?"

"Well, I can't tell. My impression is that I stated it to him."
"That you told him yourself?"
"Yes, sir."
"Has any person ever promised to pay your fees to prosecute Mr. Weber,—that is, of course, extent Mrs. Robert?"
"No, sir. Not a dollar."
"Who first introduced you to her about the case!"

"Who first introduced you to her about it case!"
"Well, sir, I can't tell how she came. I co only tell you what I heard. My brother-in-lat Emanuel Hartman, first apoke to me about it case, and asked me if I would take such case." I can

"1s he in any way related to Mr. Greene-baam?"
"No, sir; he is my brother-in-law. I told him if it was a legal matter that I could honor-ably take charge of, I would do so, and in a day or two afterwards she called at my office alone. I don't remember whether she said anybody sent her or not."

or two afterwards she called at my omes and I don't remember whether she said anybody sent her or not."

"Did Adolph Moses, Mr. Greenebaum's attorney, speak to you about bringing the sult?"

"No, sir. She told me she had applied to him to take charge of the case, but, owing to the relations he sustained to Mr. Greenebaum, he said he couldn't do it, because his motives might be misconstrued."

This was all for the present; but the witness was afterwards recalled and asked how many barrels there were in that revolver. He said he thought there were either six or seven.

The revolver, by the way, hadn't been produced. It was still in the hands of Officer Bowen, who hadn't turned up, and immediate efforts were put forth to secure his attendance, as well as that of Cleary, the Pinkerton detective. Mr. Reed said the latter had been at Weber's hoase in the morning, but owing to some misunderstanding as to where the luquest would be beld had not yet presented himself. The Coroner's right-hand man, however, subsequently came in with a story to the effect that the aforesaid detective had been ordered to be at the inquest and stay as long as Mr. Reed wanted him, and, furthermore, that the "office" didn't know where he was if he wasn't at the inquest. As a matter of fact, Mr. Cleary, or No.1.001. or whatever his proper title is, conspicuously failed to show up at all, and the inquest had to go on without him.